July 2008



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2007-2008 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards, known as *Learning Results*, adopted by the Maine Legislature in 1997. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure achievement. As last year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 18 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. Additionally, Science and Technology testing resumed this year after a two-year hiatus. The combined tests form the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

Because the MHSA Science & Technology achievement level standards had not been revised in 2006 like all other disciplines, it was necessary to revise the standards for that discipline this spring. The new achievement level standards are the result of a comprehensive process informed by Maine teachers and reviewed by advisory committees. The achievement level standards for the 2008 Critical Reading, Writing and Mathematics sections of the MHSA were not changed.

These 2007-2008 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science & technology reported according to the achievement standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores for most students may also be used for college admission, scores for students who received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board are not college reportable.

The state results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science & Technology test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs a design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science & technology questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron
Commissioner of Education

Susan A. Gendron



School:

High School Report

Test Date: May 2008 ID: 11331341

SAU: Poland School Department

Poland Regional High School

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Торіс	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11



SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2008


SAU: Poland School Department School: Poland Regional High School


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores


Average Scaled Score

Year		3	
icui	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008	1141 1142	1140 1141	1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008	1140 1141	1140 1140	1140 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008	1142 1142	1141 1141	1141 1140
Science 2007–2008	1139	1138	1141











SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2008

		En	rol	me	nt¹								CC	ΓN	ΓΕΝ	IT.	AR	EΑ	PA	RT	TIC	IPA	TIC	N ²						
CATEGORY OF	c	luring	g test	ing v	vindo	w		С	ritical	Readi	ng				Mathe	matic	5				Wri	iting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	Scl	hool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sch	nool	s	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	Sta	ate	Sch	ool	S	AU	St	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students	132	100	63	100	15604	100	123	96	60	98	14875	96	127	99	61	100	15165	97	123	96	60	98	14869	96	125	98	61	100	14961	96
Ethnicity African American/Black	0	0	0	0	305	2	0	0	0	0	261	86	0	0	0	0	286	95	0	0	0	0	260	86	0	0	0	0	280	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0	0	0	0	103	1	0	0	0	0	95	93	0	0	0	0	97	95	0	0	0	0	95	93	0	0	0	0	93	91
Asian or Pacific Islander	2	2	1	2	215	1	2	100	1	100	194	90	2	100	1	100	202	94	2	100	1	100	194	90	2	100	1	100	200	93
Hispanic	0	0	0	0	140	1	0	0	0	0	118	84	0	0	0	0	123	88	0	0	0	0	118	84	0	0	0	0	120	86
Caucasian/White	130	98	62	98	14841	95	121	96	59	98	14207	96	125	99	60	100	14457	98	121	96	59	98	14202	96	123	98	60	100	14268	96
Not Reported	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Identified disability	16	12	5	8	2247	14	13	81	4	80	2065	93	16	100	5	100	2138	96	13	81	4	80	2060	92	16	100	5	100	2081	93
Current LEP	2	2	1	2	648	4	2	100	1	100	508	79	2	100	1	100	564	87	2	100	1	100	507	78	2	100	1	100	534	83
Economically disadvantaged	23	17	15	24	4028	26	20	95	12	92	3682	92	21	100	13	100	3831	95	20	95	12	92	3679	92	21	100	13	100	3755	94
Migrant	0	0	0	0	5	0	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100	0	0	0	0	5	100

MODE OF		(Critica	l Read	ling				Mathe	ematic	s				Wri	iting					Scie	ence		
	Sc	hool		SAU	S	tate	Scl	nool	s	AU	Sta	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	nool	S	AU	St	tate
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Participation without accommodations	110	83	54	86	13042	84	114	86	55	87	13332	85	110	83	54	86	13042	84	112	85	55	87	13192	2 85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	3	3	0	0	739	6	6	5	1	2	810	6	3	3	0	0	739	6	6	5	1	2	791	6
LEP	2	2	1	2	399	3	2	2	1	2	456	3	2	2	1	2	399	3	2	2	1	2	436	3
504 plan	1	1	0	0	196	2	1	1	0	0	204	2	1	1	0	0	196	2	1	1	0	0	201	2
Participation with accommodations	11	8	6	10	1623	10	11	8	6	10	1624	10	11	8	6	10	1625	10	11	8	6	10	1567	10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	8	73	4	67	1117	69	8	73	4	67	1119	69	8	73	4	67	1119	69	8	73	4	67	1088	69
LEP	0	0	0	0	93	6	0	0	0	0	93	6	0	0	0	0	93	6	0	0	0	0	83	5
504 plan	2	18	1	17	58	4	2	18	1	17	58	4	2	18	1	17	58	4	2	18	1	17	55	4
Other	1	9	1	17	367	23	1	9	1	17	366	23	1	9	1	17	367	23	1	9	1	17	353	23
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)	2	2	0	0	209	1	2	2	0	0	209	1	2	2	0	0	202	1	2	2	0	0	202	1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)	2	100	0	0	209	100	2	100	0	0	209	100	2	100	0	0	202	100	2	100	0	0	202	10
LEP	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7	0	0	0	0	15	7
504 plan	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP	0	0	0	0	1	0																		
Approved non-participation – special consideration	4	3	2	3	36	0	4	3	2	3	40	0	4	3	2	3	36	0	4	3	2	3	38	0
Non-participation – other	5	4	1	2	693	4	1	1	0	0	399	3	5	4	1	2	699	4	3	2	0	0	605	4

¹ Percents are the percentage of students enrolled in each participation category.
3 Percents are the percentage of students in each content area by mode.

² Percents are the percentage of students, including those who participated through alternate assessment (PAAP), who participated in the content area.



CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Poland School Department
School: Poland Regional High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple-choice items in an "on demand" setting. Ν Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of 2005-2006 1079 7 reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes 2006-2007 5 1 1168 8 texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text 7 6 2 2007-2008 1184 8 Cum. Total* 16 5 3431 structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret 2005-2006 40 33 21 34 5697 38 literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of 2006-2007 49 42 25 44 5714 38 reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary 2007-2008 55 45 26 43 5885 40 statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures Cum. Total* 144 40 72 17296 39 and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The 2005-2006 38 20 4772 32 45 33 student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending 22 2006-2007 44 38 39 4728 31 on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within 2007-2008 37 31 22 28 4093 35 and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support Cum. Total* 126 64 36 13593 30 comprehension. (scaled score 1129-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's 2005-2006 3595 31 26 18 30 24 responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to 2006-2007 18 16 9 16 3444 23 use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies 2007-2008 22 18 10 17 3417 23 71 20 21 37 10456 23 summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text Cum. Total* structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Poland School Department School: Poland Regional High School

					Sch	nool							SA	AU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	121	7	6	55	45	37	31	22	18	1142	60	3	43	37	17	1141	14579	8	40	28	23	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						248	4	21	27	48	1132
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						94	5	27	28	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander	2										1						192	4	35	30	31	1138
Hispanic	0										0						115	5	32	26	37	1136
Caucasian/White	119	7	6	54	45	37	31	21	18	1142	59	3	44	37	15	1141	13930	8	41	28	23	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	11	0	0	0	0	4	36	7	64	1125	4						1823	1	9	24	65	1126
No	110	7	6	55	50	33	30	15	14	1143	56	4	46	36	14	1142	12756	9	45	29	17	1143
Current LEP																						
Yes	2										1						488	3	22	24	52	1132
No	119	7	6	54	45	37	31	21	18	1142	59	3	44	37	15	1141	14091	8	41	28	22	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	20	0	0	8	40	6	30	6	30	1136	12	0	33	33	33	1134	3545	3	28	30	39	1134
No	101	7	7	47	47	31	31	16	16	1143	48	4	46	38	13	1143	11034	10	44	27	19	1143
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	0	40	40	1136
No	121	7	6	55	45	37	31	22	18	1142	60	3	43	37	17	1141	14574	8	40	28	23	1141
Gender																						
Female	63	1	2	33	52	19	30	10	16	1142	29	0	45	38	17	1140	7237	8	42	30	19	1142
Male	58	6	10	22	38	18	31	12	21	1142	31	6	42	35	16	1142	7342	8	38	26	28	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						103	0	9	30	61	1127
No	121	7	6	55	45	37	31	22	18	1142	60	3	43	37	17	1141	14476	8	41	28	23	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	48	48	4	0	1161
No	121	7	6	55	45	37	31	22	18	1142	60	3	43	37	17	1141	14284	7	40	29	24	1140

 $\mathbf{N} = \text{Number}$



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Poland School Department
School: Poland Regional High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL* on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections 2006-2007 0 0 578 2 4 among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 3 1 2007-2008 637 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex concepts. (scaled score 1161-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 2006-2007 41 35 23 40 5481 36 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and 37 19 31 2007-2008 46 5508 37 apply concepts. (scaled score 1141-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2006-2007 43 37 20 35 4754 31 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve 29 2007-2008 50 5065 34 problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1133-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2006-2007 30 26 14 25 4607 30 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and 2007-2008 26 21 12 3660 25 apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

REPORTING CATEGORIES Tested						Scł	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
Main	REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		Р		D	Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
Peniolity		N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
Abrica American Pillock American Inflation of Native Alassica Asian of Pacific Islander 1	All Students	125	3	2	46	37	50	40	26	21	1141	61	2	31	48	20	1140	14870	4	37	34	25	1141
Abrica American Pillock American Inflation of Native Alassica Asian of Pacific Islander 1	Ethnicity																						
Asian or Pacific Islander 2 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	•	0										0						274	1	12	31	57	1133
Hispanic 0 123 3 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 1141 60 2 32 48 18 1140 11410 4 33 23 22 43 24 33 24 43 24 80 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 24 84 18 1140 11410 4 38 34 34 24 84 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34 34	American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						96	2	24	30	44	1136
Caucasin/Mile 123 3 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 1141 60 2 32 48 18 1140 14180 4 38 34 24 Not Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 3 21 11 79 1132 5 0 0 0 20 80 1132 1886 0 8 22 70 No 1111 3 0 3 3 46 41 47 42 15 14 142 56 2 34 50 14 1141 12374 5 41 36 18 18 140 14325 4 38 34 24 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 18 140 14325 4 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 14325 4 18 18 140 1	Asian or Pacific Islander	2										1						200	8	37	34	22	1142
Caucasny/Mile 123 3 2 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 111 60 2 2 32 48 18 1140 1140 4180 4 38 34 24 Not Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0		0										0						120	3	23	32	43	1138
Interhiffed disability 1		123	3	2	45	37	50	41	25	20	1141	60	2	32	48	18	1140	14180	4	38	34	24	1141
Yes 14 0 0 0 0 3 21 11 79 1132 5 0 20 20 80 122 1896 0 8 22 70 Current LEP 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 16 28 2 3 16 28 2 3 16 2 2 3 16 2 2 3 16 28 5 3 16 28 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 5 3 16 28 5 3 10 28 2 3 2 4 28 5 3 10 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 3 10 2 2 3 4 2 3 4 2 3 4 2	Not Reported	0										0						0					
Yes 14 0 0 0 0 3 21 11 79 1132 5 0 0 20 80 122 70 No 111 3 3 46 41 47 42 15 14 1142 56 2 34 50 14 1141 12974 5 41 36 18 Current LEP Ves 2 2 3 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 1141 60 2 32 48 18 1140 14325 4 38 34 24 Economically disadvantaged 2 2 4 19 9 43 7 33 1139 13 0 15 54 31 1138 3695 1 22 37 40 No 10 2 2 42 40 41 39 49 18 1141	Identified disability																						
Current LEP Ves 12 No 13 13 13 14 15 15 15 16 17 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18 18	=	14	0	0	0	0	3	21	11	79	1132	5	0	0	20	80	1132	1896	0	8	22	70	1130
Yes 2 2 3 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 1141 60 2 32 48 18 1140 14325 4 38 34 24 Economically disadvantaged 21 1 5 4 19 9 43 7 33 1139 13 0 15 54 31 1138 3695 1 22 37 40 No 104 2 2 42 40 41 39 19 18 1141 48 2 35 46 17 1141 11175 5 42 33 19 Migrant Yes 0 0 2 5 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14865 4 37 34 25 Gender Female 64 0 0 <td>No</td> <td>111</td> <td>3</td> <td>3</td> <td>46</td> <td>41</td> <td>47</td> <td>42</td> <td>15</td> <td>14</td> <td>1142</td> <td>56</td> <td>2</td> <td>34</td> <td>50</td> <td>14</td> <td>1141</td> <td>12974</td> <td>5</td> <td>41</td> <td>36</td> <td>18</td> <td>1142</td>	No	111	3	3	46	41	47	42	15	14	1142	56	2	34	50	14	1141	12974	5	41	36	18	1142
Yes 2 3 3 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 1141 60 2 32 48 18 1140 14325 4 38 34 24 Economically disadvantaged Yes 21 1 5 4 19 9 43 7 33 1139 13 0 15 54 31 1138 3695 1 22 37 40 No 104 2 2 42 40 41 39 19 18 1141 48 2 35 46 17 1141 11175 5 42 33 19 Migrant Yes 0 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14865 4 37 34 25 Gender Female 64 0 0 2 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362 3 36 36 36 24 Male 61 3 5 24 39 18 30 16 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 No No 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14865 4 37 34 25 Title 1 Atargeted program Yes 0 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14767 4 37 34 24 Gifted/talented program Yes 0 1 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14767 4 37 34 24 Gifted/talented program Yes 0 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	Current LEP																						
No 123 3 2 45 37 50 41 25 20 1141 60 2 3 3 4 8 18 110 14325 4 38 34 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25	Yes	2										1						545	3	16	28	53	1135
Yes 21 1 5 4 19 9 43 7 33 1139 13 0 15 4 11 22 37 40 No 104 2 2 42 40 41 39 19 18 1141 48 2 35 46 17 1141 11175 5 42 33 19 Migrant 9 0 1 2 4 2 4 2 3 0 11175 5 4 2 33 19 Wigrant 9 0 1 0 0 0 0 11141 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 1485 4 37 34 25 Gender 1 2 3 3 2 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362<		123	3	2	45	37	50	41	25	20	1141	60	2	32	48	18	1140	14325	4	i	i	i	1141
Yes 21 1 5 4 19 9 43 7 33 1139 13 0 15 4 11 22 37 40 No 104 2 2 42 40 41 39 19 18 1141 48 2 35 46 17 1141 11175 5 42 33 19 Migrant 9 0 1 2 4 2 4 2 3 0 11175 5 4 2 33 19 Wigrant 9 0 1 0 0 0 0 11141 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 1485 4 37 34 25 Gender 1 2 3 3 2 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362<	Economically disadvantaged																						
Migrant Yes No No 125 33 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 22 31 48 20 1140 48 20 40 40 20 40 40 20 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40 40		21	1	5	4	19	9	43	7	33	1139	13	0	15	54	31	1138	3695	1	22	37	40	1136
Yes 0 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14865 4 37 34 25 Gender Female 64 0 0 22 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362 3 36 36 24 Male 61 3 5 24 39 18 30 16 26 1142 32 3 41 38 19 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 Not Reported 0 0 2 24 39 18 30 16 26 1142 32 3 41 38 19 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 Not Reported 0 125 3 2 46	No	104	2	2	42	40	41	39	19	18	1141	48	2	35	46	17	1141	11175	5	42	33	19	1142
Yes 0 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 5 20 20 40 20 Gender Female 64 0 0 22 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362 3 36 36 36 24 Male 61 3 5 24 39 18 30 16 26 1142 32 3 41 38 19 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 Male 0 0 24 39 18 30 16 26 1142 32 3 41 38 19 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 Not 1 2 3 4 3 4	Migrant																						
Gender Female 64 0 0 22 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362 3 36 36 24 Male 61 3 5 24 39 18 30 16 26 1142 32 3 41 38 19 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 Not Reported 0	I =	0										0						5	20	20	40	20	1144
Female 64 0 0 0 22 34 32 50 10 16 1140 29 0 21 59 21 1139 7362 3 36 36 24 Male 61 3 5 24 39 18 30 16 26 1142 32 3 1 41 38 19 1142 7508 5 38 32 25 Not Reported 0 0 0 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14767 4 37 34 24 Gifted/talented program Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	No	125	3	2	46	37	50	40	26	21	1141	61	2	31	48	20	1140	14865	4	37	34	25	1141
Male	Gender																						
Not Reported 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Female	64	0	0	22	34	32	50	10	16	1140	29	0	21	59	21	1139	7362	3	36	36	24	1140
Title 1A targeted program Yes No 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 1140 114767 4 37 34 24 Sifted/talented program Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Male	61	3	5	24	39	18	30	16	26	1142	32	3	41	38	19	1142	7508	5	38	32	25	1141
Yes 0 1 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 103 0 8 41 51 No Cifted/talented program Yes 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Not Reported	0										0						0					
No 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14767 4 37 34 24 Gifted/talented program Yes 0 1 125 3 2 46 37 50 40 26 21 1141 61 2 31 48 20 1140 14767 4 37 34 24	Title 1A targeted program																						
Gifted/talented program 0 296 35 59 5 0	Yes	0										0						103	0	8	41	51	1134
Yes 0 296 35 59 5 0	No	125	3	2	46	37	50	40	26	21	1141	61	2	31	48	20	1140	14767	4	37	34	24	1141
Yes 0 296 35 59 5 0	Gifted/talented program																						
		0										0						296	35	59	5	0	1158
	No	125	3	2	46	37	50	40	26	21	1141	61	2	31	48	20	1140	14574	4	37	35	25	1140
	i																						



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: **Poland School Department Poland Regional High School** School:

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified n

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL

Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling iden	L	Scl	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ite
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combin multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2005-2006	1	1	1	2	952	6
	2006-2007	6	5	1	2	937	6
	2007-2008	6	5	2	3	962	7
	Cum. Total*	13	4	4	2	2851	6
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2005-2006	54	45	23	38	6055	40
	2006-2007	60	52	33	58	6167	41
	2007-2008	55	45	23	38	5564	38
	Cum. Total*	169	47	79	44	17786	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1129-1140)	2005-2006	44	37	25	41	4916	32
	2006-2007	36	31	14	25	4723	31
	2007-2008	40	33	28	47	4679	32
	Cum. Total*	120	34	67	38	14318	32
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2005-2006	21	18	12	20	3221	21
	2006-2007	14	12	9	16	3227	21
	2007-2008	20	17	7	12	3376	23
	Cum. Total*	55	15	28	16	9824	22



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students	121	6	5	55	45	40	33	20	17	1142	60	3	38	47	12	1141	14581	7	38	32	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						248	2	19	30	49	1131
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0						94	3	19	38	39	1133
Asian or Pacific Islander	2										1						192	6	30	34	30	1137
Hispanic	0										0						115	2	30	36	33	1136
Caucasian/White	119	6	5	55	46	38	32	20	17	1142	59	3	39	46	12	1141	13932	7	39	32	22	1140
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	11	0	0	1	9	2	18	8	73	1128	4						1825	1	7	23	69	1125
No	110	6	5	54	49	38	35	12	11	1143	56	4	41	46	9	1141	12756	7	43	33	17	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	2										1						488	3	19	29	49	1131
No	119	6	5	55	46	38	32	20	17	1142	59	3	39	46	12	1141	14093	7	39	32	22	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	20	0	0	5	25	8	40	7	35	1135	12	0	25	50	25	1136	3546	2	25	35	38	1134
No	101	6	6	50	50	32	32	13	13	1143	48	4	42	46	8	1142	11035	8	42	31	18	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0						5	20	0	20	60	1131
No	121	6	5	55	45	40	33	20	17	1142	60	3	38	47	12	1141	14576	7	38	32	23	1140
Gender																						
Female	63	1	2	32	51	24	38	6	10	1142	29	0	48	45	7	1141	7239	8	43	33	17	1142
Male	58	5	9	23	40	16	28	14	24	1141	31	6	29	48	16	1140	7342	6	34	31	30	1138
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						103	0	7	39	54	1128
No	121	6	5	55	45	40	33	20	17	1142	60	3	38	47	12	1141	14478	7	38	32	23	1140
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						295	42	53	4	0	1159
No	121	6	5	55	45	40	33	20	17	1142	60	3	38	47	12	1141	14286	6	38	33	24	1139
			1											1	}							



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2008

SAU: Poland School Department
School: Poland Regional High School

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's *Learning Results*. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of

OTODENTO A	I LACITACIILVEI	
School	SAU	State

STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL*

multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting	ng.	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems using the processes of scientific inquiry, and explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1161-1180)	2007-2008	1	1	0	0	300	2
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems using the processes of scientific inquiry and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1141-1160)	2007-2008	43	35	21	34	5927	40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems using scientific inquiry but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1135-1140)	2007-2008	33	27	15	25	3544	24
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and use the skills of scientific inquiry. There are many inaccuracies and explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. (scaled score 1100-1134)	2007-2008	46	37	25	41	4988	34

		nber	Avera	ge Points	s Attaine	d (Numbe	er and Pe	rcent)
Learning Results Content Standard Clusters		oints sible	Sch	iool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Cluster 1: Life Sciences	15	27	5.89	39.3	6.06	40.4	6.41	42.7
Cluster 2: Physical Sciences	14	25	5.49	39.2	4.95	35.4	6.22	44.4
Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences	14	25	4.87	34.8	4.54	32.4	5.04	36.0
Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science	13	23	6.47	49.8	6.12	47.1	6.59	50.7

Cluster 1: Life Sciences

A. Classifying Life Forms

B. Ecology

C. Cells

Cluster 2: Physical Sciences

E. Structure of Matter

H. Energy

I. Motion

Cluster 3: Earth and Space Sciences

D. Continuity and Change

F. The Earth

G. The Universe

Cluster 4: Nature and Implications of Science
J. Inquiry and Problem Solving

K. Scientific Reasoning

L. Communication

M. Implications of Science & Technology

Each content standard in the

Results, which are the basis

for science and technology

Grade Span Expectations.

expectation, which can be

found at http://www.maine.

gov/education/lsalt/gles.

Each item on the MHSA

measures a grade span

clusters shown is defined in Maine's 1997 *Learning*

^{*}Because science testing at the high school level resumed in 2008 after a two-year hiatus and new achievement level standards were set for the MHSA science test, historical data are not available.



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2008

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Jene	N	%	%	%	%	Jene	N	%	%	%	%	Jene
All Students	123	1	1	43	35	33	27	46	37	1139	61	0	34	25	41	1138	14759	2	40	24	34	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black	0										0						269	0	20	14	65	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan	0										0				İ		92	1	24	28	47	1138
Asian or Pacific Islander	2										1						199	3	36	25	36	1140
Hispanic	0										0						118	1	26	19	54	1136
Caucasian/White	121	1	1	42	35	33	27	45	37	1139	60	0	35	25	40	1138	14081	2	41	24	33	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes	14	0	0	1	7	1	7	12	86	1130	5	0	0	0	100	1131	1879	0	11	17	72	1133
No	109	1	1	42	39	32	29	34	31	1140	56	0	38	27	36	1139	12880	2	44	25	28	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes	2										1						519	1	18	19	62	1134
No	121	1	1	42	35	33	27	45	37	1139	60	0	35	25	40	1138	14240	2	41	24	33	1141
NO	'-'			72	00	00	-	40	0,	1103	00		00	25	1	1100	14240		71	2-7	00	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes	21	0	0	7	33	2	10	12	57	1137	13	0	23	8	69	1136	3651	1	26	24	49	1137
No	102	1	1	36	35	31	30	34	33	1140	48	0	38	29	33	1139	11108	3	45	24	29	1142
Migrant																						
Yes	0										0				-		5	20	40	40	0	1146
No	123	1	1	43	35	33	27	46	37	1139	61	0	34	25	41	1138	14754	2	40	24	34	1141
Gender														İ								
Female	62	0	0	17	27	23	37	22	35	1139	29	0	24	38	38	1138	7277	1	37	26	36	1140
Male	61	1	2	26	43	10	16	24	39	1140	32	0	44	13	44	1139	7482	3	43	22	32	1141
Not Reported	0										0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes	0										0						100	1	5	22	72	1133
No	123	1	1	43	35	33	27	46	37	1139	61	0	34	25	41	1138	14659	2	40	24	34	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes	0										0						296	13	80	5	3	1152
No	123	1	1	43	35	33	27	46	37	1139	61	0	34	25	41	1138	14463	2	39	24	34	1140
110	1.20	'		10	55				5,	1700					1		11,400				. 57	1170
														1	-					-		